







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/855,688	05/16/2001	Tatsumi Hiramoto	208674US0	9204		
22850	7590 10/25/2002					
	VAK MCCLELLAND	EXAMINER				
	SON DAVIS HIGHWAY	7	SHAY, DAVID M			
ARLINGTON	I, VA 22202	A 22202		PAPER NUMBER		
			3739			
			DATE MAILED: 10/25/2003	•		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tragemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

EXAMINER	ATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		AT	TY, DOCKET NO.
					EX	AMINER
ART UNIT PAPER NI				۲-		PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

	This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS						
	OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY						
G	Responsive to communication(s) filed on April 2002						
	This action is FINAL.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
the	shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	nth(s), or thirty days, or response will cause he provisions of 37 CFR					
Dis	isposition of Claims						
P	Claim(s) 1-15 is	/are pending in the application.					
_	Of the above, claim(s)is/are	withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) Claim(s) / -/5	is/are allowed.					
4	Claim(s) _ / - / S	is/are rejected.					
H	Claim(s)are subject to re:	is/are objected to.					
App	pplication Papers	sarction or organizations.					
	See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected to by the E The proposed drawing correction, filed onis	examiner. approved disapproved.					
Pric	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).						
	☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been						
	received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*	*Certified copies not received:	,					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
Atta	ttachment(s)						
B	Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892						
₫	Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 3, 4						
	Interview Summary, PTO-413						
	Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948						
_	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152						
_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

Application/Control Number: 09/855,688

Art Unit: 3739

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 8 contain recitations which appears to be a Markush grouping, but is an improper recitation thereof "any selected from the group of lithium ... or potassium (K)" both this recitation and the recitation of the rare gasses does not clearly indicate that the group from which the elements are to be chosen is closed. Claims 1 and 8 are also substantial duplicates.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6-10, and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Jack et al.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/855,688

Art Unit: 3739

Claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jack et al in combination with TOKYO. Jack et al teach an arc lamp as claimed except for the use of Rubidium and Potassium therein. TOKYO teach adding Rubidium halide and Potassium halide to a tube employing Sodium halide. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to use Rubidium halide and Potassium halide in the bulb of Jack et al, since this provides a more stable output and longer bulb life, as taught by TOKYO, thus producing a device such as claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David Shay at telephone number (703) 308-2215.

David Shay:lf October 18, 2002 DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINED GROUP 330 Page 3